

Interview Summary

Application N .

10/022,369

Applicant(s)

RINALDI ET AL.

Examiner

Anatoly Vortman

Art Unit

2835

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Anatoly Vortman.

(3) _____

(2) Mr. Rates, Reg. No. 35,271.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 19 April 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 16.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

A. Vole
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Amendments to claim 16 have been discussed. The Examiner has reiterated that limitations: "switch(es)...positioned on an exposed exterior surface of the connector" as recited in independent claims 1, 8, and 13, render the claims patentable over the art of record as it was previously stated in paragraph 5 of the outstanding Final Office Action. The Examiner had suggested amending said claim 16 accordingly and submitting the proposed amendment in the Response After Final for further consideration.

A. Vale

ANATOLY VORTMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER